

TOGO IS TOASTED BY MR. ROOSEVELT

President of United States Urges Young America to Follow Jap's Sagacity.

EXTRAORDINARY ESTIMATION

Considers Sunflower Soldiers Almost Paramount in Patriotism and Pugnacity.

(By Associated Press.)

WASHINGTON, D. C., February 27.—President Roosevelt has addressed to the Secretary of War, who has promulgated the same in a general order, a letter reciting the achievements of the Japanese admiral, Togo, during the late war between Japan and Russia, and repeating for the benefit of American soldiers and sailors the address issued by that admiral upon the conclusion of the war. The point of the President's letter is the necessity of keeping the personnel of the army and navy at the highest pitch in time of peace, in order to be prepared for war.

Strenuous Staff. The text of the President's letter is as follows:

"The White House, Washington, D. C., Feb. 21, 1906. 'The Secretary of War.—In the recent war in the East, Admiral Togo took his place among the great sea fighters of all time. His message to the United States which he commanded on the occasion of his dispersal at the close of the war, is so noteworthy that I deem it proper to have it inserted in a general order of the department.

"The qualities which make a formidable fighting man, on sea or on shore, and which therefore make a formidable army or navy, are the same for all nations. The individual must have the fighting edge; there must be in them courage, determination, individual initiative, combined with willingness to learn and subordination of self, together with physical address, in order that they may form the stuff out of which, in the aggregate, good armies and navies are made. But, in addition to this, there must be a certain amount of training, and a certain amount of discipline. Every American officer and enlisted man, whether serving in the army or the navy, should keep ever before his eyes the fact that he will not be fit to do his work in the event of war unless in peace he has thoroughly done the work of preparing for war. If in peace the soldier and the sailor abandon themselves to ease and sloth, when war comes they will go down before the fiercest and least self-indulgent. Nor is it only the men of the army and the navy who should constantly remember these facts. In a great self-governing republic like ours, the army and the navy can be only so good as the mass of the people wish them to be. The citizens of our country owe it to themselves and to their children and their children's children that there shall be no chance of having the national honor tarnished, the national flag stained with aught that is discreditable.

Responsibility of Natives.

"The men of the army and the navy, in any great crisis, such as even the fiercest and least self-indulgent have to face, will be those upon whom the special responsibility will rest of keeping the nation's honor bright and unsullied. They cannot do this if the nation does not exercise forethought on their behalf. We must have an adequate navy and an adequate army in point of size; they must be provided with the most effective mechanism in the form of weapons and other material; above all, they must be given every chance in time of peace to train themselves so that they may be adepts in handling the mechanism, and be fitted in body and in mind, indelibly, to do the tremendous work and bear the tremendous responsibility of war.

The President here quotes the address of Admiral Togo, omitting, he says, certain allusions having no bearing on our conditions, and concludes as follows:

"I commend the above address to every man who is or may be a part of the fighting force of the United States, and to every man, who believes that if ever, unhappily, war should come, it should be so conducted as to reflect credit upon the American nation.

(Signed)

"THEODORE ROOSEVELT."

FATHER OF DOZEN FOR POSTMASTER

(Continued From First Page.)

name of a Mr. Washington has been mentioned for the position?

Has Thirteen Children.

"Yes," said one of the ladies, people say you will appoint him because he has thirteen children."

"That is a good recommendation," said the President, who evidently saw the humor of the situation.

"Well, I would like to say to you that I am the mother of twins," replied one of the ladies with dignity.

"I am delighted to hear it, madam," said the President, in his most cordial manner, and extending his hand to the lady who had just spoken.

The turn of fortune's wheel impoverishes ten where it enriches one.

Persistent, intelligent saving and wise investment bring a competence to all, with injury to none.

Live well within your income, save some, deposit your savings here and future prosperity is insured.

3 per cent. compound interest.

It is as easy to bank by mail as personally.

Planters National Bank,

Savings Department, Richmond, Va.

Capital, \$300,000.00

Surplus and Profits, \$900,000.00

Lowney's
Chocolate Bonbons
are the most delicious and the most perfect confections made.
Every sealed package is warranted to be in prime condition or money refunded.
One thing peculiar to Lowney's candies is that they can be eaten freely; they are pure and wholesome.
Another is that the Lowney packages are full weight.
Send for the Lowney Receipt Book. The WALTER M. LOWNEY CO. BOSTON, MASS.

LOWNEY'S

"Name on every place."

parted the interesting information, and shook it heartily.

And so the battle-door and shuttle-cock of conversation went back and forth for some minutes, and finally the ladies came away. They evidently enjoyed the visit less than did the President. He did not assure them that he would appoint Mr. Burroughs; in fact, it looked to the visitors that he was inclined to select Mr. Lawrence Washington for the place. The latter is a descendant of George Washington.

Senator Daniel presented to the Senate to-day the credentials of Senator Martin as senator from Virginia for the term beginning March 4, 1907. The credentials are signed by Governor Swanson and are attested by D. Q. Eggleston, Secretary of the Commonwealth. They are dated February 1, 1906, the day Governor Swanson was inaugurated.

The House Committee on Industrial Arts and Expositions will take up the bill to-morrow morning at 10 o'clock. Secretary Taft, Secretary Shaw and Assistant Secretary Newberry, representing the Navy Department, will appear before the committee. It is understood that all these officials want to see the government well represented on the shores as well as the waters of Hampton Roads next spring.

HIGH ARMY RANK NOT ABOLISHED

House Determines Not to Do Away With Lieutenant-Generals in Army.

(By Associated Press.)

WASHINGTON, February 27.—Military matters held the attention of the House to-day, the army appropriation bill being under consideration for amendment.

That General Corbin and General McArthur become lieutenant-generals, the provision in the bill abolishing that rank was eliminated on a point of order raised by Mr. Grosvenor, of Ohio, who substituted an amendment to abolish the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

Mr. Adams (Pennsylvania) moved the yeas and nays, and the House voted 191 yeas and 100 nays to strike out the grade after these officers had been promoted, but this, too, met defeat.

VOTE ON STATEHOOD BEFORE MARCH 9

Understanding Reached Regarding Embryonic Western States.

(By Associated Press.)

WASHINGTON, February 27.—The Senate to-day agreed to vote on the Statehood bill before adjournment on Friday, March 9th. The proposition to take the vote at this time was made by Mr. Beveridge and there was little difficulty in reaching an understanding. The suggestion immediately followed a speech in support favorable by Mr. Hopkins, during the course of which Mr. Hale suggested that the bill be not prepared for statehood and suggested that their admission be deferred.

The remainder of the day was devoted to the discussion of the bill providing for the settlement of the affairs of the five civilized tribes of Indians, the major portion of the time being given to the provision for the disposal of the coal lands in Indian Territory.

Federal Matters.

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.)

WASHINGTON, D. C., February 27.—Patents issued:

Virginia—Bryan Holladay, Richmond, nursery bottle; Martha E. John, Port, mouth, car fender; Edward J. Willis, Richmond, electric machine.

North Carolina—William S. Bailey, Spring Hope, switch; John A. Marquardt, Asheville, adjustable fly screen.

Postmasters appointed:

Virginia—Blickley Mill, Russell county, Charles H. Cross, vice J. M. Dickinson, removed; Legg, Wise county, William H. Clay, vice W. M. Clark, resigned; News Ferry, Halifax county, David E. Moore, vice S. S. Webster, removed; Pender, Giles county, Arabella G. French, vice J. A. Crocker, resigned; Poplar, Nelson county, James C. Harris, vice Florence Harris, resigned.

North Carolina—Applegate, Columbus county, Milton A. Borden, vice R. C. Applegate, resigned; George W. Hodge, appointed regular, Charlie W. Hodge, rural carrier, Route 4, at Rutherfordton, N. C.

CROMWELL AND MORGAN IN A DRAWN BATTLE

(By Associated Press.)

WASHINGTON, D. C., Feb. 27.—William Nelson Cromwell and Senator Morgan measured legal minds all day before the Senate Committee on Inter-oceanic Canals, and in a drawn battle adjourned until to-morrow.

Senator Morgan, who has been pursuing the witness relentlessly concerning his relations with the Panama Canal Company, asking many skillful questions, which were as skillfully evaded. Mr. Cromwell drew a line between his actions since the transfer of the canal project to the United States and before the transfer, and declined positively to answer any questions concerning the latter period. At the close of the day Mr. Morgan had brought out a scheme for the canalization of the Panama Canal Company, which promised to furnish the subject for an interesting examination.

TRI-STATE MEDICAL ASSOCIATION MEETING

(By Associated Press.)

SPARTANBURG, S. C., Feb. 27.—The annual convention of the Tri-State Medical Association, composed of physicians of Virginia and North and South Carolina, began at Whitesboro Springs this morning. Officers will be elected to-morrow. Norfolk, Va., was selected as the next meeting place.

CAN SEE HANDSHIP BUT CAN'T PROVIDE RELIEF

(By Associated Press.)

WASHINGTON, Feb. 27.—Although holding that certain combination rates on sea routes are unjust and unreasonable, thereby working a practical monopoly in favor of the Standard Oil Company, the Interstate Commerce Commission to-day, on an opinion by Commissioner Pruett, confessed its inability to afford relief to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.

The cases came up on the petitions of the Fred C. Clark Company, acting for the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railway Company and others and the Waverly Oil Works against the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, which was alleged to grant the relief sought, and dismissed the petitions.